

(everyone) constructions

from cont

TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE MINING

The Mining Association | L'association minière of Canada | du Canada



TSM ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL

A Tool to Assess Crisis Management and Communications Planning Performance

Purpose

The purpose of this TSM protocol is to support evaluation of corporate and facility-level crisis management and communications planning. This includes a crisis management and communications plan, regular review of the plan, and regular training to support effective implementation of the plan. Implementation of this protocol is supported by definitions, guidance, and templates available in the TSM Crisis Management and Communications Reference Guide.

Performance Indicators

To assess performance in line with this purpose, the TSM Crisis Management and Communications Planning Protocol specifies criteria associated with three indicators:

- 1. Preparedness
- 2. Review
- 3. Training

Companies must assess their performance on each indicator for their corporate office and each of their facilities that is implementing TSM. Unlike most other TSM protocols, these three indicators are scored on a Yes/No rating scale. All criteria must be met in to assign a Yes rating for an indicator. If a criteria or indicator is not relevant, then an assessment of Not Applicable (N/A) should be assigned.

As with any assessment of a management system, professional judgment is required to assess alignment with each indicator and associated criteria. Application of this protocol will therefore require a level of expertise in auditing and systems assessment, as well as knowledge and experience in the practice of crisis management and communications planning, including relevant regulatory regimes and requirements. This protocol is a tool to assess the level of implementation of crisis management and communications planning. It is not, of itself, a guarantee of effectiveness of these activities, but is intended to create the awareness, practice and corporate culture needed to achieve success in this area.



Indicator 1: Preparedness

Purpose

To confirm that a crisis management and communications plan is in place.

Corporate: Preparedness

Assessment Criteria:

Criteria

- 1. The CEO has endorsed and demonstrated support for crisis management and communications planning.
- 2. Credible threats and risks to the company have been identified
 - a. Protocols have been established to address identified threats and risks.
 - b. The corporate office has reviewed threats and risks identified by facilities and reflected these in corporate planning where relevant.
- 3. A Corporate Crisis Management Team has been established, with defined roles and responsibilities.
- 4. A notification mechanism is in place to activate the Corporate Crisis Management Team in the event of a crisis.
- 5. A media spokesperson has been assigned and trained or demonstrates equivalent qualifications and competencies.
- 6. Key media and stakeholder contact information has been prepared and a system is in place for tracking engagement with media and stakeholders.
- 7. A Corporate Crisis Management and Communications Plan has been developed and is a controlled document.
- 8. All Corporate Crisis Management Team members have received the Corporate Crisis Management and Communications Plan and key contact information.
- 9. A physical, virtual, or hybrid crisis control centre has been established and equipped.
- 10. Communications mechanisms have been established to alert employees to a crisis and to provide updates.



Facility: Preparedness

Assessment Criteria:

Criteria	a	
1.	Credib	le threats and risks to the facility have been identified.
	a.	Protocols have been established to address identified threats and risks.
	b.	The facility has communicated identified threats and risks to the corporate office.
2.		lity Crisis Management Team has been established, with defined roles and sibilities.
3.		ication mechanism is in place to activate the Facility Crisis Management in the event of a crisis.
4.		ia spokesperson has been assigned and trained or demonstrates equivalent cations and competencies.
5.	2	edia and stakeholder contact information has been prepared and a system is e for tracking engagement with media and stakeholders.
6.		acility Crisis Management and Communications Plan has been developed and ntrolled document.
7.		cility Crisis Management Team members have received the Facility Crisis gement and Communications Plan and key contact information.
8.	A phys equipp	sical, virtual, or hybrid crisis control centre has been established and ed.
9.	Mecha update	nisms have been established to alert employees to a crisis and to provide es.
10.		ant communities of interest have had the opportunity to engage on the Facility Management Plan.
11.		I meetings take place with senior members of the local emergency response ities (where they exist).



FAQs: Corporate Commitment, Accountability and Communications

#	FAQ
1	What is a crisis?
2	What is a controlled document?
7	For companies headquartered outside of the country of TSM implementation, does the global CEO need to endorse and demonstrate support for corporate crisis management and communications planning?



Indicator 2: Review

Purpose

To confirm that the Crisis Management and Communications Plan is reviewed and updated to remain responsive to the needs and risks of the company and its operations and to reflect industry best practice.

Corporate: Review

Assessment Criteria:

Criteria							
1.	The Corporate Crisis Management and Communications Plan is reviewed and updated:						
	 when there is a change of personnel of those associated with implementation of the plan, 						
	b. when there is a change in business (e.g., a new mine), and/or						
	c. at least every two years.						
2.	The mechanism to notify the Corporate Crisis Management Team is tested at least twice per year.						
3.	Key media and stakeholder contact information is reviewed or updated at least every two years.						
4.	Mechanisms to alert employees to a crisis and provide updates are tested at least once per year.						
5.	Processes exist to ensure that new Corporate Crisis Management Team members are familiarized with the Corporate Crisis Management and Communications Plan within two months of joining the team.						



Facility: Review

Assessment Criteria:

Criteria					
1.	The Facility Crisis Management and Communications Plan is reviewed and updated:				
	 when there is a change of personnel of those associated with implementation of the plan, 				
	b. when there is a change in business (e.g., a mine expansion), and/or				
	c. at least every two years.				
2.	The mechanism to notify the Facility Crisis Management Team is tested at least twice per year.				
3.	. Key media and stakeholder contact information is reviewed or updated at least every two years.				
4.	Mechanisms to alert employees to a crisis and provide updates are tested at least once per year.				
5.	The Facility Crisis Management Plan is shared with the corporate office.				
6.	Processes exist to ensure that new Facility Crisis Management Team members are familiarized with the Facility Crisis Management Plan within two months of joining the team.				



Indicator 3: Training and Skills

Purpose

To confirm that crisis management training and skills review, including crisis simulation exercises, are conducted annually.

Corporate: Training

Assessment Criteria:

Criteria

1. Tabletop crisis simulation exercises are conducted annually at the corporate office.

Facility: Training

Assessment Criteria:

Criteria

- 1. Tabletop crisis simulation exercises are conducted annually at the facility.
- 2. A full crisis simulation is conducted every three years.

FAQs: Training

#	FAQ			
3	What is a 'table-top crisis simulation' exercise?			
4	If a facility has a new Crisis Management and Communications Plan, is a full crisis simulation exercise required to achieve a Yes in Indicator 3?			
5	Can a real-life crisis meet the requirement for a crisis simulation exercise?			
6	Does a facility table-top exercise need to address the entire facility?			



Appendix 1: Frequently Asked Questions

Frequently Asked Questions are a mandatory component of self-assessments and external verifications against TSM protocols. Facilities must review and follow the definitions and guidance below to support appropriate interpretation and implementation of the criteria outlined in the protocols.

1. What is a crisis?

A crisis is a sudden event that may significantly affect a company's ability to carry out its business.

It is important to distinguish between an *emergency* (which should be handled by facilitylevel management through Emergency Response Plans) and a *crisis* (which requires involvement and action from senior management at corporate and facility levels).

A crisis may exist or be developing if:

- An emergency poses a significant threat to the public or employees.
- An emergency provokes government scrutiny.
- The company no longer has full control of the situation (e.g., other agencies are taking charge).
- The situation has caused national or international media interest.
- The situation is likely to escalate and there is no immediate resolution in sight.
- The company's reputation may be damaged or there is a threat to the bottom line or share value.

Examples of incidents that are subject to crisis management include:

- Industrial emergencies e.g., accidents resulting in critical injury or property damage, fire, building collapse, mine cave-ins, flooding, explosions, power failure, freeze-up, loss of water, etc.
- Natural disasters e.g., flood, mud slide, tsunami, tornado, hurricane, earthquake, fire, or volcano that may jeopardize personal safety or commercial operations and severely disrupt routine commercial transportation and communications links with the affected locations.
- Medical emergencies in jurisdictions where quality medical care is remote or lacking.
- Accidental releases of materials e.g., tailings dam failure or major chemical spill that could significantly impact the environment or health and safety of affected persons.
- Missing person incidents.



- Political and security risks e.g., kidnapping, extortion, bomb threat, bombing, political or civil unrest, illegal detention by local authorities, insurgent or guerrilla activity, etc.
- Any other unexpected event which might threaten the safety of employees, contractors, or the local community, or damage the reputation of the company.

2. What is a controlled document?

A controlled document has tracking capabilities that help to ensure that employees always have the most current version of the document. This means that there is a process in place to revise and approve the document, and out-of-date versions are destroyed or withdrawn from circulation and replaced. This requires a process to keep track of issued documents to ensure that the latest revision is always circulated to replace every copy of the previous version.

Document control can take place on physical or electronic documents. In the case of electronic controlled documents, the process only extends to the point at which a document is printed. Once printed, that document is no longer considered controlled.

3. What is a 'table-top crisis simulation' exercise?

A table-top crisis simulation exercise is a useful, cost-effective tool for honing crisis management and communications skills and for helping the Crisis Management Team identify any weaknesses or gaps in their crisis management planning.

Working from a schedule of events, a facilitator offers the Crisis Management Team a series of situations to be analyzed and discussed before decisions are made and actions taken. Pressure is exerted through ever-increasing complexity and frequency of problems, with the facilitator raising issues from the perspectives of outside audiences.

Different types of crisis management planning exercises, including full crisis simulations, are described in Section 8 of the *TSM Crisis Management and Communications Planning Reference Guide*. Many communications and public affairs firms offer services in crisis management and communications training.

4. If a facility has a new Crisis Management and Communications Plan, is a full crisis simulation exercise required to achieve a Yes in Indicator 3?

A facility with a new plan has two years to plan and carry out a full crisis simulation exercise. If the new plan has been tested through tabletop exercises, the assessor may respond "yes" to this indicator.

5. Can a real-life crisis meet the requirement for a crisis simulation exercise?

Yes, a real-life crisis can meet the requirement for a crisis simulation exercise if the Crisis Management and Communications Plan was implemented in response to the crisis. Following the crisis, the Crisis Management Team must meet to review the plan's implementation and identify lessons learned and the need for any modifications to the plan.



The results of this review must be documented.

6. Does a facility table-top exercise need to address the entire facility?

No, but the table-top exercises should test different situations and different parts of the facility. So, over time, the entire Crisis Management and Communications Plan will be tested and reviewed.

7. For companies headquartered outside of the country of TSM implementation, does the global CEO need to endorse and demonstrate support for corporate crisis management and communications planning?

It is not necessary to demonstrate endorsement or support by the global CEO for corporate crisis management and communications planning for companies headquartered outside of the country where this protocol is being implemented. In these cases, the most senior executive in that jurisdiction should provide this endorsement and support.



APPENDIX 2: TSM SELF ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

Crisis Management and Communications Planning - Corporate

Facility Name:	Company Name:	
Assessed By:	Date Submitted:	

.Supporting Documentation / Evidence:			
Name of Document Location			

Interviewees:						
Name Position Name Position						



		QUESTION	Y	N	DESCRIPTION & EVIDENCE		
INDICATOR 1: Corporate Crisis Management and Communications Preparedness							
	1.	Has the CEO endorsed and demonstrated support for crisis management and communications planning?					
	2.	Have credible threats and risks to the company been identified?					
		a. Have protocols been established to address identified threats and risks?					
		b. Has the corporate office reviewed threats and risks identified by facilities and reflected these in corporate planning where relevant?					
	3.	Has a Corporate Crisis Management Team been established, with defined roles and responsibilities?					
oorate	4.	Is there a notification mechanism in place to activate the Corporate Crisis Management Team in the event of a crisis?					
Indicator 1 - Corporate	5.	Has a media spokesperson been assigned and trained, or do they demonstrate equivalent qualifications and competencies?					
Indicato	6.	Has key media and stakeholder contact information been prepared and is a system in place for tracking engagement with media and stakeholders?					
	7.	Has a Corporate Crisis Management and Communications Plan been developed and is it a controlled document?					
	8.	Have all Corporate Crisis Management Team members received the Corporate Crisis Management and Communications Plan and key contact information?					
	9.	Has a physical, virtual, or hybrid crisis control centre been established and equipped?					
	10	. Have communications mechanisms been established to alert employees to a crisis and to provide updates?					
	If you have answered "Yes" to all the questions, assign a "Yes" for this indicator. Otherwise, assign a "No".						
	ASSESSED CORPORATE PERFORMANCE FOR INDICATOR 1						



	QUESTION	Y	N	DESCRIPTION & EVIDENCE	
INDICA	ATOR 2: Corporate Review	_			
	1. Is the Corporate Crisis Management and Communications Plan reviewed and updated:				
	 a. When there is a change of personnel of those associated with implementation of the plan? 				
	b. When there is a change in business (e.g., a new mine)? AND/OR				
۵	c. At least every two years?				
Corporate	2. Is the mechanism to notify the Corporate Crisis Management Team tested at least twice per year?				
Indicator 2 - Corporate	3. Is key media and stakeholder contact information reviewed or updated at least every two years?				
Indic	4. Are mechanisms to alert employees to a crisis and provide updates tested at least once per year?				
	5. Do processes exist to ensure that new Corporate Crisis Management Team members are familiarized with the Corporate Crisis Management and Communications Plan within two months of joining the team?				
	If you have answered "Yes" to all the questions, assign a "Yes" for this indicator. Otherwise, assign a "No".				
	ASSESSED CORPORATE PERFORMANCE FOR INDICATOR 2	Y / N:			



	QUESTION	Y	N	DESCRIPTION & EVIDENCE
INDICA	TOR 3: Corporate Training			
tor 3 rate	 Are tabletop crisis simulation exercises conducted annually at the corporate office? 			
Indicator 3 Corporate	If you have answered "Yes" to all the questions, assign a "Yes" for this indicator. Otherwise, assign a "No".			
	ASSESSED CORPORATE PERFORMANCE FOR INDICATOR 3	Y / N:		



Crisis Management and Communications Planning - Facility

Facility Name:	Company Name:	
Assessed By:	Date Submitted:	

.Supporting Documentation / Evidence:			
Name of Document	Location		

Interviewees:						
Name	Position	Name	Position			



	QUESTION	Y	N	DESCRIPTION & EVIDENCE			
INDICA	INDICATOR 1: Facility Crisis Management and Communications Preparedness						
	 Have credible threats and risks been identified? 						
	a. Have protocols been established to address identified threats and risks?						
	b. Has the facility communicated identified threats and risks to the corporate office?						
	 Has a Facility Crisis Management Team been established, with defined roles and responsibilities? 						
	3. Is there a notification mechanism in place to activate the Facility Crisis Management Team in the event of a crisis?						
	4. Has a media spokesperson been assigned and trained, or do they demonstrate equivalent qualifications and competencies?						
- Facility	5. Has key media and stakeholder contact information been prepared and is a system ir place for tracking engagement with media and stakeholders?	1					
Indicator 1 - Facility	6. Has a Facility Crisis Management and Communications Plan been developed and is it a controlled document?	6					
Inc	 Have all Facility Crisis Management Team members received the Facility Crisis Management and Communications Plan and key contact information? 						
	8. Has a physical, virtual, or hybrid crisis contro centre been established and equipped?	I					
	9. Have mechanisms been established to alert employees to a crisis and to provide updates?						
	10. Have relevant communities of interest had the opportunity to engage on the Facility Crisis Management Plan?						
	11. Do annual meetings take place with senior members of the local emergency response authorities (where they exist)?						
1	If you have answered "Yes" to all the questions, assign a "Yes" for this indicator. Otherwise, assign a "No".						



QUESTION	Y	N	DESCRIPTION & EVIDENCE
ASSESSED FACILITY PERFORMANCE FOR INDICATOR 1	Y / N:		

	QUESTION	Y	N	DESCRIPTION & EVIDENCE		
INDICA	INDICATOR 2: Facility Review					
	 Is the Facility Crisis Management and Communications Plan reviewed and updated: 					
	 When there is a change of personnel of those associated with implementation of the plan? 					
	b. When there is a change in business (e.g., a mine expansion)? AND/OR					
	c. At least every two years?					
ility	2. Is the mechanism to notify the Facility Crisis Management Team tested at least twice per year?					
Indicator 2 - Facility	3. Is key media and stakeholder contact information reviewed or updated at least every two years?					
Indicate	4. Are mechanisms to alert employees to a crisis and provide updates tested at least once per year?					
	5. Is the Facility Crisis Management and Communications Plan shared with the corporate office?					
	6. Do processes exist to ensure that new Facility Crisis Management Team members are familiarized with the Facility Crisis Management and Communications Plan within two months of joining the team?					
	If you have answered "Yes" to all the questions, assign a "Yes" for this indicator. Otherwise, assign a "No".					
	ASSESSED FACILITY PERFORMANCE FOR INDICATOR 2	Y / N:				



		QUESTION	Y	N	DESCRIPTION & EVIDENCE
INDICA	INDICATOR 3: Facility Training				
3 -	1.	Are tabletop crisis simulation exercises conducted annually at the facility?			
ndicator Facility	2.	Is a full crisis simulation conducted every three years?			
Ind F	If you have answered "Yes" to all the questions, assign a "Yes" for this indicator. Otherwise, assign a "No".				
	-	SESSED FACILITY PERFORMANCE OR INDICATOR 3	Y / N:		

For more information about the TSM initiative, visit:

www.TSMInitiative.com

or

The Mining Association of Canada <u>www.mining.ca/tsm</u>

Reproduction of this publication for educational or other non-commercial purposes is authorized without prior written permission from the Mining Association of Canada provided the source is fully acknowledged. Reproduction of this publication for resale or other commercial purposes is prohibited without prior written permission of the Mining Association of Canada.

©2022 The Mining Association of Canada. Trademarks, including but not limited to Towards Sustainable Mining®, TSM®, and the diamond shaped figure arcs and quadrilaterals designs, are either registered trademarks or trademarks of The Mining Association of Canada in Canada and/or other countries.