



Independent practitioner’s limited assurance report on Teck Resources Limited’s Towards Sustainable Mining Inactive Tailings Facilities Performance Statement

To the Directors of Teck Resources Limited

We have conducted a limited assurance engagement on the Towards Sustainable Mining (TSM) Inactive Tailings Facilities Performance Statement of Teck Resources Limited (Teck) (the subject matter), of Teck’s Inactive Tailings Facilities as outlined in Table 1 (collectively, the Inactive Tailings Facilities) as at September 15, 2025 and for the year then ended.

Table 1: Inactive Tailings Facilities

Site	Tailings Storage Facility
Pine Point	Pine Point Tailings Storage Facility
Beaverdell	Beaverdell Tailings Storage Facilities, which include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • South Tailings Storage Facility • North Tailings Storage Facility
Fisherman Road	Fisherman Road Tailings Storage Facility
Duck Pond	Duck Pond Tailings Storage Facility
Magmont	Magmont Tailings Storage Facility
Sa Dena Hes	Sa Dena Hes Tailings Management Area
Sullivan	Sullivan Mine Tailings Storage Facilities, which include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Iron Tailings Storage Facility • Old Iron Tailings Storage Facility • Calcine Tailings Storage Facility • Gypsum Tailings Storage Facility • Siliceous Tailings Storage Facility
Pinchi	Pinchi Lake Tailings Storage Facility
Pend Oreille	Pend Oreille Mine Tailings Storage Facility, which includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TDF-1 • TDF-2 • TDF-3
Douglas	Douglas Mine Tailings Storage Facility
Lennard Shelf	Lennard Shelf Tailings Storage Facility
Louvicourt	Louvicourt Tailings Storage Facility

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
PwC Place, 250 Howe Street, Suite 1400, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6C 3S7
T.: +1 604 806 7000, F.: +1 604 806 7806, Fax to mail: ca_vancouver_main_fax@pwc.com

“PwC” refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an Ontario limited liability partnership.



Responsibilities for the subject matter

Management of Teck is responsible for:

- the preparation of the subject matter in accordance with the criteria established in Mining Association of Canada's Towards Sustainable Mining (MAC TSM) Tailings Management Protocol (2023 version) (the applicable criteria) as explained in Exhibit 1;
- designing, implementing and maintaining such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of the subject matter in accordance with the applicable criteria, that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; and
- the selection and application of appropriate sustainability reporting methods and making assumptions and estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances.

Inherent limitations in preparing the subject matter

Non-financial information is subject to more limitations than financial information, given both the nature and the methods used for determining, calculating, sampling or estimating such information. Qualitative interpretations of relevance, materiality and the accuracy of information are subject to individual assumptions and judgments.

Our independence and quality management

We have complied with the independence and other ethical requirements of the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards) issued by the International Ethics Standard Board for Accountants (IESBA Code) and of the relevant rules of professional conduct / code of ethics applicable to the practice of public accounting and related to assurance engagements, issued by various professional accounting bodies, which are founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour.

The firm applies Canadian Standard on Quality Management 1, *Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Statements, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements*, which requires the firm to design, implement and operate a system of quality management including policies or procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Practitioner's responsibilities

Our responsibility is to plan and perform the assurance engagement to obtain limited assurance about whether the subject matter is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue a limited assurance report that includes our conclusion. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence decisions of users taken on the basis of the subject matter.

We conducted our limited assurance engagement in accordance with Canadian Standard on Assurance Engagements (CSAE) 3000, *Attestation Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information* (CSAE 3000) and International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000



(Revised), *Assurance Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information* (ISAE 3000 (Revised)), issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

As part of a limited assurance engagement in accordance with CSAE 3000 (and ISAE 3000 (Revised)), we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the engagement. We also:

- determine the suitability in the circumstances of Teck's use of the applicable criteria as the basis for the preparation of the subject matter;
- perform risk assessment procedures, including obtaining an understanding of internal control relevant to the engagement, to identify where material misstatements are likely to arise, whether due to fraud or error, but not for the purpose of providing a conclusion on the effectiveness of Teck's internal control; and
- design and perform procedures responsive to where material misstatements are likely to arise in the subject matter. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations or the override of internal control.

We believe that the evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our conclusion.

Summary of the work performed

A limited assurance engagement involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the subject matter. The procedures in a limited assurance engagement vary in nature and timing from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had a reasonable assurance engagement been performed.

The nature, timing and extent of procedures selected depend on professional judgment, including the identification of where material misstatements are likely to arise in the subject matter, whether due to fraud or error.

In conducting our limited assurance engagement, we:

- obtained an understanding of Teck's reporting processes relevant to the preparation of its subject matter by:
 - performing inquiries on the review process of disclosures;
- evaluated whether all information identified by the process to identify the information reported in the subject matter is included in the subject matter;
- performed inquiries with relevant personnel on selected information in the subject matter; and
- performed substantive assurance procedures on selected information in the subject matter.



Limited assurance conclusion

Based on the procedures we have performed and the evidence we have obtained, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the subject matter as at September 15, 2025 and for the year then ended is not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with MAC TSM Tailings Management Protocol (2023 version) as explained in Exhibit 1, and applied as explained in the subject matter.

Restriction on use

Our report has been prepared solely for the directors of Teck for reporting on its conformance against the applicable criteria for the Inactive Tailings Facilities. The subject matter therefore may not be suitable, and is not to be used, for any other purpose. Our report is intended solely for Teck.

We neither assume nor accept any responsibility or liability to any third party in respect of this report.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Chartered Professional Accountants

Vancouver, British Columbia
December 8, 2025



Exhibit 1

Criteria

The criteria established in Mining Association of Canada's Towards Sustainable Mining (MAC TSM) Tailings Management Protocol (2023 version) are described below:

Name of standards
MAC TSM Protocol: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tailings Management (2023), including relevant aspects of the following documents as defined within the protocol:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The 2022 version of the Table of Conformance• Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide• Version 2.1 of the OMS Guide



Exhibit 2

MAC TSM Verification Summary Report

Facility information

Name of company	Teck Resources Limited
Name of facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pine Point Tailings Storage Facility • Beaverdell Tailings Storage Facilities, which include South Tailings Storage Facility and North Tailings Storage Facility • Fisherman Road Tailings Storage Facility • Duck Pond Tailings Storage Facility • Magmont Tailings Storage Facility • Sa Dena Hes Tailings Management Area • Sullivan Mine Tailings Storage Facilities, which include Iron Tailings Storage Facility, Old Iron Tailings Storage Facility, Calcine Tailings Storage Facility, Gypsum Tailings Storage Facility and Siliceous Tailings Storage Facility • Pinchi Lake Tailings Storage Facility • Pend Oreille Mine Tailings Storage Facility, which includes TDF-1, TDF-2 and TDF-3 • Douglas Mine Tailings Storage Facility • Lennard Shelf Tailings Storage Facility • Louvicourt Tailings Storage Facility
Address	<p>Head office 550 Burrard Street Vancouver, BC V6C 0B3 See schedule 1 for specific site locations</p>



Name of company	Teck Resources Limited
Country of operation	Canada: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pine Point • Beaverdell • Fisherman Road • Duck Pond • Sa Dena Hes • Sullivan • Pinchi • Louvicourt United States of America: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Magmont • Douglas • Pend Oreille Australia: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lennard Shelf
Products/metals produced on site	N/A – Closed
Types of operations included in scope:	
Mining	N/A
Concentrate blending	N/A
Smelting	N/A
Refining	N/A
Other (please explain)	N/A



Name of company	Teck Resources Limited
Types of infrastructure included in scope:	
Roads	N/A
Rails	N/A
Ports	N/A
Other (please explain)	N/A

Verifier and verification information

Name(s) of verifier(s) firm(s)	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Confirmation that all verifiers involved in the verification are accredited TSM verifiers	Yes
Date(s) of the assurance (verification) activities (dd/mm/yyyy – dd/mm/yyyy)	<p>June 1, 2024 to September 15, 2025</p> <p>Onsite:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pine Point: July 15, 2024 – July 16, 2024 • Beavertell: October 15, 2024 – October 16, 2024 • Fisherman Road: October 9, 2024 • Duck Pond: October 22, 2024 • Magmont: March 25, 2025 • Sa Dena Hes: September 10, 2024 • Sullivan: October 7, 2024 • Pinchi: October 4, 2024 • Pend Oreille: December 10, 2024 • Douglas: April 29, 2025 • Lennard Shelf: April 9, 2025 • Louvicourt: April 23, 2025



Verification period	12-month period ending September 15, 2025
Summary of the verification methodology	<p>The external assurance was performed in accordance with Canadian Standard on Assurance Engagements (CSAE) 3000, <i>Attestation Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information</i> (CSAE 3000) and International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000 (Revised), <i>Assurance Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information</i> (ISAE 3000 (Revised)), issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.</p> <p>PwC developed an integrated limited assurance methodology for TSM that also provides assurance over International Council on Mining and Metals (ICMM) Conformance Protocols: Global Industry Standard on Tailings Management. This was done in accordance with ISAE 3000.</p> <p>In all cases when limited assurance cannot be obtained and a qualified conclusion in the practitioner's assurance report is insufficient in the circumstances for purposes of reporting to the intended users, the ISAE standard requires that the practitioner disclaim a conclusion or withdraw (or resign) from the engagement, where withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation. This engagement did not warrant a qualified opinion or a withdrawal as sufficient evidence was able to be obtained to give limited assurance on the facilities performance statement.</p> <p>The engagement team involves the following team:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Engagement Partner – Overall responsibility for managing and achieving quality on the engagement and being sufficiently and appropriately involved throughout the engagement.• Quality Review Partner – Reviews and discusses significant matters and significant judgments arising during the engagement.• Copper Mark approved assessors that include assurance specialists, engineers and subject matter specialists where relevant.



Obtaining evidence

PwC identified and assessed the risks of material misstatement in the subject matter (the performance statement of TSM level). In general, engagement risk can be represented by the following components, although not all of these components will necessarily be present or significant for all subject matter:

- the susceptibility of the subject matter to a material misstatement before consideration of any related controls applied by the appropriate party(ies) (inherent risk);
- the risk that a material misstatement that occurs in the subject matter will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis by the appropriate party(ies)'s internal control (control risk); and
- the risk that the procedures performed by the practitioner will not detect a material misstatement (detection risk), which the practitioner does directly influence.

Procedures are then designed and performed to respond to the assessed risks and to obtain limited evidence to support our conclusion. Obtaining evidence includes:

- inspection of documents;
- observation onsite of controls and practices being performed;
- confirmation through third parties such as communities, suppliers or contractors;
- recalculation of numerical information where relevant;
- reperformance of controls;
- analytical procedures of the self-assessment; and
- inquiry.

This includes both the testing of controls and test of details.

Sampling methodology

Applied a risk-based sampling methodology, which involves identifying key risk areas and selecting samples that provide a representative view of the population, and used statistical sampling techniques to ensure sufficient coverage and reliability. Sampling methods are aligned with ISAE 3000 assurance standards. Testing procedures are



	<p>designed on the risk assessment performed and based on the criteria requirements. Any exceptions identified during our testing of the criteria are noted in the procedures performed.</p>
Summary of the verification activities	<p>The assurance conclusion is in accordance with ISAE 3000. This standard requires planning and executing procedures to obtain limited assurance regarding whether the subject matter (the performance statement) is free from material misstatement. We considered all relevant evidence, regardless of whether it appears to corroborate or to contradict the measurement or evaluation of the underlying subject matter against the TSM criteria. The following activities were performed as part of the assessment.</p> <p>Planning and Assurance approach</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Kick-off meeting with facility and corporate management.• Obtained and reviewed self-assessment of each facility.• Performed risk assessment on self-assessment and initial meeting with facility and corporate (based on operational context, controls, audit risk and specific TSM criteria).• Identified TSM criteria that could be performed through desktop review of documents, enquiry, third party confirmations, onsite observation, test of controls or substantive testing through sampling.• Based on the risk assessment and testing plan, a verification plan was developed to obtain limited assurance that the facility's self-assessment has been prepared in accordance with the TSM criteria. <p>Execution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Examination of the evidence supporting the self-assessment in the form of documentation, including policies, procedures, data, audit findings and other relevant evidence.• Developed and executed interviews with facility management to confirm their awareness of policies, implementation of policies and commitments through management systems and confirm understanding of the controls and processes in place for each TSM requirement.



	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Performed a site visit to the facility, which included the following activities:<ul style="list-style-type: none">– opening meeting with GM and department leads;– site tours to perform observation procedures for identified TSM requirements;– interviews with employees (given the facility contexts of inactive sites, there was often few employees onsite);– interviews with contractors where relevant (given the facility contexts of inactive sites, there was often few employees onsite); and– observation of critical controls being carried out.• Examined evidence of community engagement and community feedback.• Interviewed a sample of communities where relevant for the site context.• Discussions on rating differences, supporting documentation, identified gaps and plans for gap resolution.• Performed interviews with relevant tailings team for each inactive site, which included but was not limited to the Responsible Tailings Facility Engineer (RFTE), Engineer of Record (EoR), Accountable Executive (AE), Independent Tailings Review Boards (ITRB) and other relevant onsite individuals. <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Senior review of documentation evidence collected.• Final review meeting with facility management to validate ratings and gaps identified and plans for addressing them.• Finalization of the Reports. <p>Please refer to the Assurance report when reading this summary report and for the conclusion stated.</p>
Was a site visit conducted?	Yes



Schedule 1

Inactive Tailings Facilities Addresses

Facility	Address
Pine Point Tailings Storage Facility	Northwest Territories, Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pine Point Tailings Storage Facility: 60.879272° / - 114.437272°
Beaverdell Tailings Storage Facilities	British Columbia, Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beaverdell Tailings Storage Facilities, which include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – North Tailings Storage Facility: 49.441605° / - 119.095922° – South Tailings Storage Facility: 49.435957° / - 119.097717
Fisherman Road Tailings Storage Facility	British Columbia, Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fisherman Road Tailings Storage Facility: 49.484757° / - 117.390042
Duck Pond Tailings Storage Facility	Newfoundland, Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Duck Pond Tailings Storage Facility: 48.647480° / - 56.490561
Magmont Tailings Storage Facility	Missouri, United States of America <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Magmont Tailings Storage Facility: 37.630936° / - 91.108224
Sa Dena Hes Tailings Management Area	Yukon, Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sa Dena Hes Tailings Management Area: 60.528250° / - 128.850678



Facility	Address
Sullivan Mine Tailings Storage Facilities	British Columbia, Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sullivan Mine Tailings Storage Facilities, which include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Iron Tailings Storage Facility: 49.657872° / - 115.937887 – Old Iron Tailings Storage Facility: 49.658303° / - 115.952749 – Calcine Tailings Storage Facility: 49.647142° / - 115.956205 – Gypsum Tailings Storage Facility: 49.644530° / - 115.935520 – Siliceous Tailings Storage Facility: 49.657971° / - 115.923106
Pinchi Lake Tailings Storage Facility	British Columbia, Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pinchi Lake Tailings Storage Facility: 54.627353° / - 124.426425°
Pend Oreille Mine Tailings Storage Facility	Washington, United States of America <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pend Oreille Mine Tailings Storage Facility, which includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – TDF-1: 48.889872° / - 117.351680 – TDF-2: 8.889117° / - 117.348237 – TDF-3: 48.881379° / - 117.348786
Douglas Mine Tailings Storage Facility	Montana, United States of America <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Douglas Mine Tailings Storage Facility: 46.523795° / - 113.182639
Lennard Shelf Tailings Storage Facility	Kimberley, Australia <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lennard Shelf Tailings Storage Facility: 8.308092° / - 125.786968
Louvicourt Tailings Storage Facility	Quebec, Canada <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Louvicourt Tailings Storage Facility: 48.132622° / - 77.601511



Schedule 2

MAC TSM Protocols

Criterion	Rating C, B, A, AA or AAA (or otherwise indicated)											
	Pine Point	Beaverdell	Fisherman Road	Duck Pond	Magmont	Sa Dena Hes	Sullivan	Pinchi	Pend Oreille	Douglas Mine	Lennard Shelf	Louvicourt
Tailings Management												
1. Tailings management policy and commitment	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
<p>In conducting our limited assurance engagement, we:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtained and inspected Tailings Management Policy and other relevant tailings management related policies to review the alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. Obtained and reviewed procedural documents, manual and policies such as Tailings and Water Retaining Structures (TWRS) guidance, Tailings Management System (TMS) Manual and site Operation, Maintenance, and Surveillance Manual (OMS) for the sites, to review alignment with the TSM's criteria related to Tailings Management Policy and Commitment. Obtained and inspected the Annual Facility Performance Review (AFPR), Dam Safety Review (DSR) and other compliance related documents over tailings to review the effectiveness of policies and their implementation. Conducted interviews with relevant management personnel to demonstrate their awareness of policies and procedures in place to govern tailings management. PwC performed the external audit, using the Table of Conformance (2022 Version), as per the requirements set out in Tailings Management Policy and Commitment level AAA. 												



Criterion	Rating C, B, A, AA or AAA (or otherwise indicated)											
	Pine Point Beaverdell	Fisherman	Road	Duck Pond	Magmont	Sa Dena Hes	Sullivan	Pinchi	Pend Oreille	Douglas Mine	Lennard Shelf	Louvicourt
Tailings Management												
2. Assigned accountability and responsibility for tailings management	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
<p>In conducting our limited assurance engagement, we:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Obtained and reviewed relevant tailings policy documents and Terms of References defining roles and accountabilities in relation to tailings management to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. • Obtained and inspected documents outlining the accountabilities and responsibilities of tailings management and reviewed the assigned accountabilities against the Table of Conformance (2022 version). • Obtained and inspected the internal Tailings Governance Review (TGR) by the Tailings Working Group (TWG) to evidence the results of the internal audit conducted and reviewed the effectiveness of the assignment of accountability. • Obtained and inspected the external review of the tailings facilities by the Engineer of Record (i.e. the Annual Facility Performance Reviews) to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide and to review the effectiveness of the assignment of accountability. • Obtained and inspected the external review of tailings facilities performance documented in the Dam Safety Review to review the effectiveness of the assignment of accountability. 												



Criterion	Rating C, B, A, AA or AAA (or otherwise indicated)											
	Pine Point Beaverdell	Fisherman	Road	Duck Pond	Magmont	Sa Dena Hes	Sullivan	Pinchi	Pend Oreille	Douglas Mine	Lennard Shelf	Louvicourt
Tailings Management												
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtained and inspected the OMS manuals for the sites, outlining the roles and responsibilities, along with training/competency requirements to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. Performed the external audit, using the Table of Conformance (2022 Version), as per the requirements set out in Assigned Accountability and Responsibility for Tailings Management level AAA. <p>In addition to the procedures conducted for each site, the following site-specific procedures were conducted:</p> <p>Douglas Mine</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtained and inspected evidence of the EoR transition plan to review if accountability is assigned in line with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. Interviewed relevant site management about the EoR transition plan, such as the RTFE, to review management's understanding of the transition plan. Inspected support indicating the Accountable Executive is aware of the EoR transition plan to review if accountability is assigned in line with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. 											



Criterion	Rating C, B, A, AA or AAA (or otherwise indicated)											
	Pine Point	Beaverdell	Fisherman Road	Duck Pond	Magmont	Sa Dena Hes	Sullivan	Pinchi	Pend Oreille	Douglas Mine	Lennard Shelf	Louvicourt
Tailings Management												
3. Tailings management system and emergency preparedness	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
	<p>In conducting our limited assurance engagement, we:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Obtained and reviewed the TMS Manual, site Risk Registers and the annual Accountable Executive review of site tailings management performance to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. • Obtained and inspected the Extreme Loading Evaluations for seismic and flooding events to review if the associated risks have been considered in line with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. • Obtained and inspected the Deviance Accountability Report (DAR) to review the effectiveness of the implementation of the tailings management system. • Obtained and inspected internal audit evidence that the reviews of tailings management for the tailings facility were conducted. • Obtained and inspected site Mine Emergency Preparedness and Response Plans (MERP) and evidence of MERP exercises/tests to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. • Obtained and inspected evidence of communications of MERPs with COI to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. • Obtained and inspected the external review of the tailings facilities as required by the relevant regulation. • Performed the external audit, using the Table of Conformance (2022 version) as per the requirements set out in Annual Tailings Management Review level AAA. 											



Criterion	Rating C, B, A, AA or AAA (or otherwise indicated)											
	Pine Point	Beaverdell	Fisherman Road	Duck Pond	Magmont	Sa Dena Hes	Sullivan	Pinchi	Pend Oreille	Douglas Mine	Lennard Shelf	Louvicourt
Tailings Management												
	<p>In addition to the procedures conducted for each site, the following site-specific procedures were conducted:</p> <p>Beaverdell, Fisherman Road, Sa Dena Hes, Pend Oreille, Sullivan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inspected risk treatment plans and the application of Teck's ALARP procedure to review the sites' planned response to facility level risk against Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. Conducted interviews with site management regarding the implementation of the ALARP procedure to review management's understanding of facility risks and associated risk management measures. <p>Duck Pond and Fisherman Road</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inspected the MERP exercise testing schedule, which includes dates of exercises, types of exercises and planned COI participation to review COI engagement in emergency preparedness in line with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. Inspected evidence of COIs being invited to participate in MERP exercises to review COI engagement in emergency preparedness in line with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. <p>Magmont</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inspected evidence of actions taken to address the identified gaps in the DAR to review the site's managing change procedures in line with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. 											



Criterion	Rating C, B, A, AA or AAA (or otherwise indicated)											
	Pine Point	Beaverdell	Fisherman Road	Duck Pond	Magmont	Sa Dena Hes	Sullivan	Pinchi	Pend Oreille	Douglas Mine	Lennard Shelf	Louvicourt
Tailings Management												
4. Operation, maintenance, and surveillance	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
	<p>In conducting our limited assurance engagement, we:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtained and inspected the OMS Manual for all tailings facilities to review alignment with Version 2.1 of the OMS Guide. Obtained and inspected the AFPR and TGRs to evidence reviews of the OMS manuals in accordance with Version 2.1 of the OMS Guide and to review the effectiveness of the development and implementation of the OMS manual. Conducted interviews with relevant corporate management, site management and employees to evidence awareness of the OMS, including hazard identification and circumstances that would trigger special inspections. Obtained and inspected the external review of the tailings facility as required by the relevant regulations. Performed the external audit, using the Table of Conformance (2022 version), as per the requirements set out in Indicator 4: OMS Manual level AAA. <p>In addition to the procedures conducted for each site, the following site-specific procedures were conducted:</p> <p>Beaverdell, Louvicourt, Sa Dena Hes, Pend Oreille, Magmont, Sullivan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtained and inspected construction record reports related to construction done on site post-closure to review alignment against Version 2.1 of the OMS Guide. 											



Criterion	Rating C, B, A, AA or AAA (or otherwise indicated)											
	Pine Point	Beaverdell	Fisherman Road	Duck Pond	Magmont	Sa Dena Hes	Sullivan	Pinchi	Pend Oreille	Douglas Mine	Lennard Shelf	Louvicourt
Tailings Management												
5. Annual tailings management review	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
	<p>In conducting our limited assurance engagement, we:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Obtained and reviewed the TMS Manual, site Risk Registers and the annual Accountable Executive Review of site tailings management performance to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. • Obtained and inspected internal tailings audit evidence that demonstrates that reviews of tailings management for each facility were conducted in alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. • Obtained and inspected the Tailings Governance Review by the Tailings Working Group to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. • Obtained and inspected the tailings reviews by the ITRB to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide. • Obtained and inspected the external review of the tailings facilities by the Engineer of Record (i.e. the Annual Facility Performance Reviews) to review alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide and review the effectiveness of the annual tailings management reviews. • Obtained and inspected external reviews of tailings facilities in Dam Safety Reviews to review the alignment with Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide and review the effectiveness of the annual tailings management reviews. • PwC performed the external audit, using the Table of Conformance (2022 version), as per the requirements set out in Annual Tailings Management Review level AAA. 											



Teck Resources Limited
 Suite 3300, 550 Burrard Street
 Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6C 0B3
 Tel +1.604.699.4000
teck.com

September 15, 2025

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
 PricewaterhouseCoopers Place
 250 Howe Street, Suite 1400
 Vancouver BC V6C 3S7

RE: Teck Resources Limited’s Towards Sustainable Mining - Inactive Tailings Facilities Performance Statement

We confirm that the Performance Statement has been prepared to assist Teck Resources Limited (Teck) in complying with the member requirements of the Mining Association of Canada’s Towards Sustainable Mining (MAC TSM) Tailings Management Protocol (version 2023) as explained in Exhibit 1, and not for other use or purpose. The Performance Statement is prepared for Teck’s Inactive Tailings Facilities as outlined in Table 1 (collectively, the Inactive Tailings Facilities).

Table 1: Inactive Tailings Facilities

Site	Tailings Storage Facility
Pine Point	Pine Point Tailings Storage Facility
Beaverdell	Beaverdell Tailings Storage Facilities, which include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • South Tailings Storage Facility • North Tailings Storage Facility
Fisherman Road	Fisherman Road Tailings Storage Facility
Duck Pond	Duck Pond Tailings Storage Facility
Magmont	Magmont Tailings Storage Facility
Sa Dena Hes	Sa Dena Hes Tailings Management Area
Sullivan	Sullivan Mine Tailings Storage Facilities, which include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Iron Tailings Storage Facility • Old Iron Tailings Storage Facility • Calcine Tailings Storage Facility • Gypsum Tailings Storage Facility • Siliceous Tailings Storage Facility
Pinchi	Pinchi Lake Tailings Storage Facility



Teck Resources Limited
 Suite 3300, 550 Burrard Street
 Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6C 0B3
 Tel +1.604.699.4000
teck.com

Pend Oreille	Pend Oreille Mine Tailings Storage Facility, which includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TDF-1 • TDF-2 • TDF-3
Douglas	Douglas Mine Tailings Storage Facility
Lennard Shelf	Lennard Shelf Tailings Storage Facility
Louvicourt	Louvicourt Tailings Storage Facility

The results of Teck’s Performance Statement have been summarized below:

MAC TSM Tailings Protocol	Self-assessed Rating				
	Indicator 1	Indicator 2	Indicator 3	Indicator 4	Indicator 5
Pine Point	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Beaverdell	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Fisherman Road	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Duck Pond	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Magmont	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Sa Dena Hes	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Sullivan	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Pinchi	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Pend Oreille	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Douglas Mine	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Lennard Shelf	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA
Louvicourt	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA	AAA



Teck Resources Limited
Suite 3300, 550 Burrard Street
Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6C 0B3
Tel +1.604.699.4000
teck.com

Yours truly,

Teck Resources Limited

DocuSigned by:
Amparo Cornejo

A5A85201C28D458

Amparo Cornejo
Chief Sustainability Officer
Teck Resources Limited



Teck Resources Limited
Suite 3300, 550 Burrard Street
Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6C 0B3
Tel +1.604.699.4000
teck.com

Exhibit 1

Criteria

The criteria established in Mining Association of Canada's Towards Sustainable Mining (MAC TSM) Tailings Management Protocol (2023 version) is described below:

Name of standards
<p>MAC TSM Protocol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tailings Management (2023), including relevant aspects of the following documents as defined within the protocol:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The 2022 version of the Table of Conformance• Version 3.2 of the Tailings Guide• Version 2.1 of the OMS Guide